

REMARKS

In the Decision on Appeal, the Board reversed the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejections of claims 1-15 and 17-21. However, the Board entered a new ground of rejection for claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Specifically, the Board concluded that claim 1 is definite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, because multiple CRL retrieval agents do not use a plurality of CRL retrieval agents to periodically retrieve CRLs at time intervals from different CAs. Decision, pp. 6. Claims 2-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as dependent on the rejected base claim 1.

By this amendment, claim 1 is amended to remove the phrase, "using a plurality of CRL retrieval agents." Thus, amended claim 1 overcomes the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejection made by the Board.

CONCLUSION

In view of the forgoing remarks, it is respectfully submitted that this case is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. If any points remain at issue that the Examiner feels could best be resolved by a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to contact the attorney below.

No fee is believed due with this Response, however, should a fee be required please charge Deposit Account 50-

0510. Should any extensions of time be required, please consider this a petition thereof and charge Deposit Account 50-0510 the required fee.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 2, 2010

/ido tuchman/
Ido Tuchman, Reg. No. 45,924
Law Office of Ido Tuchman
82-70 Beverly Road
Kew Gardens, NY 11415
Telephone (718) 544-1110
Facsimile (718) 374-6092